



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,219	09/26/2003	Naotaka Yumoto	030712-14	6834

22204 7590 11/28/2005

NIXON PEABODY, LLP
401 9TH STREET, NW
SUITE 900
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128

EXAMINER

HUR, JUNG H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2824

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/670,219

Applicant(s)

YUMOTO, NAOTAKA

Examiner

Jung (John) Hur

Art Unit

2824

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 November 2005.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 21-29 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Reconsideration

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's Request for Reconsideration, filed 07 November 2005. The remarks disclosed therein have been considered and entered

No claims have been cancelled or added by Request. Therefore, claims 1-29 are pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 6-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11 February 2005. The Restriction requirement is made final.

Withdrawal of Finality

3. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 3-5, 21, 23-26, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art ("Admission") in view of McGibney et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,112,322) and McClure (U.S. Pat. No. 6,037,792).

Admission (for example, in the second paragraph on page 1 of the specification) discloses a nonvolatile semiconductor memory device comprising: a memory cell array having a plurality of memory cells and arranged in an array, the memory cells being connected to a plurality of bit lines and word lines (inherent); a plurality of address input terminals inputting a plurality of addresses thereto (inherent); a test mode circuit for outputting a test mode signal (implied, for example, to control the selection of all word lines) when a signal ("a signal from the exterior") is inputted to a predetermined terminal (implied, since the signal is from the exterior); a row decoder (inherent); applying an excess voltage ("a test mode voltage" of 8V, above the normal level of 5V) for a test to all said word lines in response to said test mode signal; a column decoder (including "column switches") connected to said test mode circuit and setting all said bit lines to a non-selecting state ("a turning-off state") in response to said test mode signal; a control signal input terminal for receiving a control signal (inherent; such as RAS, CAS, R/W, etc.) and a control circuit connected to this control signal input terminal (inherent, for example, to control read/write operations); and an address buffer connected to the address input terminals, the row decoder and the column decoder (inherent).

However, Admission does not disclose that the predetermined terminal is that among the address input terminals; and a monitor terminal (or pad) connected to said test mode circuit and outputting said test mode signal. Further, Admission is not clear that said row decoder is connected to said test mode circuit and applies said excess voltage to all said word lines.

McClure, for example in Fig. 1, discloses outputting a test mode signal (for example, /BURN-IN MODE signal) when a signal is inputted to a predetermined terminal among the address input terminals (i.e., use of an address pin to control entry into the test mode; see, for example, column 5, lines 52-61). McClure further discloses a monitor terminal or pad (48 or 54) for outputting the test mode signal (via 52 and 50; see also column 3, lines 22-40 and column 5, lines 37-52).

McGibney, for example in Fig. 4, discloses a row decoder (402) connected to a test mode circuit (including CTRL) and applies an excess voltage (above VCC; see for example column 2, lines 10-15, column 4, lines 47-56) to all word lines (see for example column 2, line 60 through column 3, lines 10).

Since it was common and well known in the art to detect a predetermined signal on an existing address pin to enable a test mode (as exemplified by McClure and others), it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to enable the test mode of Admission via a signal on a predetermined terminal among the address input terminals, for the purpose of reducing the need for additional pins to enable a test mode and thus reducing the space and cost associated with providing additional pins.

Further, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a test mode monitor terminal (or pad), as in McClure, in the test mode circuit of Admission, for the purpose of ascertaining a test mode entry and exit and thus reducing test errors and increasing test quality (see also for example McClure, column 5, lines 40-44).

Art Unit: 2824

Further, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have the row decoder connected to the test mode circuit of Admission such that the row decoder would select and apply the excess voltage to all the word lines (as in McGibney), for the purpose of providing a greater flexibility for stress testing by being able to control the selection of the word lines, while preventing power surges (see for example McGibney column 2, line 47 through column 3, line 14).

6. Claims 2, 22 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art ("Admission") in view of McGibney et al. and McClure as applied to claims 1, 21 and 26 above, and further in view of Fontana et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,982,677).

The above Admission/McGibney/McClure combination disclose a memory device as in claims 1, 21 and 26 above, with the exception of a select line connected to the drain of a memory cell, and a regulator connected to this select line and said test mode circuit and giving a predetermined bias electric potential to the drain of said memory cell.

Fontana, for example in Figs. 2 and 3, discloses a select line (Y_{ms}) connected to the drain of a memory cell (see 3 in Fig. 2), and a regulator (Fig. 3) connected to this select line and a circuit (providing V_{ref} and P_{Gn}), and giving a predetermined bias electric potential to the drain of said memory cell (see for example column 4, lines 26-37).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the drain voltage regulator, as in Fontana, in the device of the Admission/McGibney/McClure combination, such that the regulator would be connected to the test mode circuit and provide a test voltage to the drains of the memory cells, for the purpose

Art Unit: 2824

of stabilizing the test voltage and reducing the testing time, and thus improving the test efficiency (see for example Fontana, column 3, lines 37-46; also, column 7, lines 24-28).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, see starting at the bottom of page 4, filed 07 November 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 21 and 26 under 35 USC 103, and related to the selection of all word lines by the row decoder, have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art reference(s). See rejections above.

With respect to Applicant's arguments related to the column decoder (see in the middle of page 5), a column decoder with column switches are inherent in the memory of Admission (to select a column for reading and/or programming), and therefore the column decoder must be controlled by said test mode circuit to set all the bit lines to a non-selecting state (as claimed), thus setting the column switches to a turning-off state (as recited in Admission) during a stress test. However, even if Admission's column switches are not considered to be a part of the column decoder, in order for the oxide stress test described in Admission to be successful (see for example the third paragraph on page 1 of the specification), the column decoder must be controlled by said test mode circuit to set all the bit lines to a non-selecting state (as claimed) to isolate normal read and/or programming circuits from the array, in conjunction with the column switches being controlled such that they are in a "turning-off state" (as recited in Admission).

Art Unit: 2824

With respect to Applicant's arguments related to the monitor terminal (or pad), see toward the bottom of page 5, the response to the same arguments presented in the previous Office Action is maintained herein. See Response to Arguments in the previous Office Action.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.


9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jung (John) Hur whose telephone number is (571) 272-1870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30 AM - 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2824

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jhh


VANTHU NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER